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1. Introduction

The global epidemic of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB), i.e., Mycobacterium tuberculosis that is resistant to isoniazid and rifampin, is a major threat to human health.1 In the past decade, there have been substantial improvements in our ability to diagnose and treat MDR-TB; however efforts have mainly focused on MDR-TB in adults. MDR-TB also has a substantial impact in children; currently, most MDR-TB (and drug-susceptible TB) treatment guidelines for children are extrapolated from adult data and rely on clinical experience instead of controlled trials. However, differences in the pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment of childhood TB relative to TB in adults are well described, and have limited the benefit children have received from recent advances in adult MDR-TB care.2 There are relatively few trials that have focused specifically on childhood TB. In order to address this deficit and begin the process of developing a science-based framework on which to base recommendations, the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials Network (IMPAACT) and Research Excellence to Stop TB Resistance (RESIST-TB) networks organized a meeting to bring together investigators and clinicians working in this field. The aim was to summarize the current status of knowledge, identify important areas of research, and develop plans for future research for pediatric MDR-TB. This article presents a summary of topics discussed, including the following: current trials ongoing and planned; the global burden of MDR-TB in children; current regimens for MDR-TB treatment in children; pharmacokinetics of second-line anti-tuberculosis medications in children; design, sample size, and statistical considerations for MDR-TB trials in children; selection of study population, design, and treatment arms for a trial of novel pediatric MDR-TB regimens; practical aspects of pediatric MDR-TB treatment trials; and strategies for integrating children into adult tuberculosis trials. These discussions elucidated barriers to pediatric MDR-TB clinical trials and provided insight into necessary next steps for progress in this field. Investigators and funding agencies need to respond to these recommendations so that important studies can be implemented, leading to improved treatment for children with MDR-TB.

2. Update on current pediatric MDR-TB studies in progress

Prior to 2008, no clinical trials of MDR-TB treatment had ever been performed in adults or children, and treatment was based entirely on clinical opinion.3 Since then, phase 2 clinical trials have demonstrated the efficacy of three new anti-TB drugs –bedaquiline, delamanid, and pretomanid – for the treatment of MDR-TB and extensively drug-resistant (XDR-TB).4 Moreover, linezolid,
Table 1: Safety studies in children with MDR-TB disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Target population</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDR-PK 1</td>
<td>PK and safety of levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, ofloxacin, amikacin, high-dose isoniazid, ethionamide, para-aminosalicylic acid, terizidone, and cycloserine at routine doses</td>
<td>Observational cohort</td>
<td>Children 0–2 years, 2–5 years, 6–15 years old; all enrolled simultaneously</td>
<td>PK, drug–drug interactions, and safety in children treated for MDR-TB with/without HIV co-infection</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR-PK 2</td>
<td>Moxifloxacin, levofloxacin, and linezolid</td>
<td>Observational cohort</td>
<td>Children 0–&lt;2 years, 2–&lt;6 years, 6–&lt;12 years, 12–&lt;18 years old</td>
<td>PK, drug–drug interactions, and safety in children treated for MDR-TB with/without HIV co-infection</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jansen C211</td>
<td>Bedaquiline in combination with other second-line agents</td>
<td>Open-label, single-arm</td>
<td>HIV-uninfected children ages 0–1 year, 2–4 years, 5–11 years, 12–17 years old</td>
<td>PK, safety, and anti-mycobacterial activity of bedaquiline in combination with other second-line drugs</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPAACT P1108</td>
<td>Bedaquiline in combination with other second-line agents</td>
<td>Open-label, single-arm</td>
<td>HIV-infected and uninfected children with MDR-TB under an FDA IND; children 6–17 years currently enrolled; children 3–5 years and 0–2 years to follow in parallel</td>
<td>PK, safety, and tolerability of delamanid</td>
<td>Not yet enrolling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otsuka 232 and 233</td>
<td>Delamanid</td>
<td>Open-label, multiple-dose</td>
<td>HIV-uninfected children ages 0–2 years, 3–6 years, 6–11 years, 12–17 years old</td>
<td>PK, safety, and tolerability of delamanid PK</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPAACT 2005</td>
<td>All-oral, injectable-sparing, delamanid-based MDR-TB regimen</td>
<td>Open-label, single-arm clinical trial</td>
<td>Children 0–&lt;3 years, 3–&lt;6 years, 6–&lt;12 years, 12–&lt;18 years old</td>
<td>PK, safety, and tolerability of intervention regimen; assess effect of HIV and ART on delamanid PK</td>
<td>Not yet enrolling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PK, pharmacokinetic; MDR-TB, multidrug-resistant tuberculosis; FDA IND, Food and Drug Administration Investigational New Drug; ART, antiretroviral therapy.

clofazimine, and meropenem (existing drugs not previously used for MDR-TB) have been recognized to have activity against M. tuberculosis and therefore to be potential companion agents in new regimens for MDR-TB treatment. This has led to a long-overdue increase in MDR-TB treatment trials. By 2016, four phase 2 and one phase 3 MDR-TB treatment trials had been completed, and an additional eight phase 2 and eight phase 3 trials were under way. While this represents a welcome increase in activity that will hopefully expand treatment options for MDR-TB, only two of these trials are enrolling children under the age of 12 years, while one is enrolling adolescents aged 13–17 years. Thus, there is a substantial unmet need for data that will guide the treatment of children with MDR-TB. This meeting reviewed clinical trials and observational cohort studies of pediatric MDR-TB to identify knowledge gaps and generate momentum for new studies to address those gaps.

Planned and ongoing pediatric MDR-TB studies can be divided into two groups. The first is treatment studies in which the pharmacokinetics (PK) and safety in children with MDR-TB are characterized. The goal of these studies is to define the optimal doses for children with TB, taking into account efficacy–toxicity tradeoffs (shown in Table 1). The second is studies of preventive therapies, in which pediatric household contacts of MDR-TB patients are treated to prevent disease (shown in Table 2).

Table 2: Trials of MDR-TB preventive therapy in children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Target population</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V-QUIN</td>
<td>Levofloxacin, 6 months</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial</td>
<td>Adult, child, and adolescent household contacts of pulmonary MDR-TB patients</td>
<td>Evaluate intervention compared to placebo for prevention of MDR-TB in household contacts</td>
<td>Not yet enrolling children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB-CHAMP</td>
<td>Levofloxacin, 6 months</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial</td>
<td>Children &lt;5 years old who are household contacts of pulmonary MDR-TB patients</td>
<td>Evaluate efficacy and safety of levofloxacin compared to placebo for prevention of MDR-TB</td>
<td>Not yet enrolling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5300/P2003</td>
<td>Delamanid</td>
<td>Open-label, phase 3 trial</td>
<td>Adult and child (0–17 years) household contacts of MDR-TB patients</td>
<td>Evaluate efficacy and safety of delamanid compared to standard-dose isoniazid for TB prevention</td>
<td>Not yet enrolling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDR-TB, multidrug-resistant tuberculosis.

Missing from these tables are studies specifically evaluating the efficacy of novel regimens for the treatment of pediatric MDR-TB; none are ongoing. It is hoped that a better understanding of the PK and safety of new and existing drugs will lead to the rational design of trials to evaluate optimized regimens specifically tailored to pediatric patients.

3. Global burden of MDR-TB in children

TB remains substantially under-diagnosed among children due to challenges with microbiological confirmation, a dearth of good diagnostics, and limitations in the recording and reporting of pediatric TB. These challenges are further exacerbated in children with MDR-TB. Until 2012, the World Health Organization (WHO) did not provide estimates of the burden of pediatric TB. Two recent studies have provided evidence that the proportion of children with MDR-TB reflects the proportion of new (i.e., never previously treated for TB) adult TB cases with MDR-TB in the same setting. The first estimate of pediatric MDR-TB incidence, published in 2014 by Jenkins et al., was 32 000 annual incident cases (3.2% of their overall TB incidence estimate). In 2016, Dodd et al. published an extension of their mathematical model to estimate the number of children with several different forms of
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drug-resistant TB. They estimated that 24,800 children developed MDR-TB annually (i.e., 2.9% of incident TB cases).

The proportion of children with MDR-TB who are diagnosed, and the proportion of those children who receive appropriate treatment, is also unknown. However, it is likely a very small proportion of the 25,000–32,000 children who develop MDR-TB annually. Although children who are diagnosed and receive treatment for MDR-TB are likely to recover and have good outcomes, those who remain undiagnosed have a high risk of death. A recent literature review from the pre-treatment era demonstrated high mortality in children who did not receive treatment for TB. Given the high number of children with MDR-TB who are untreated, mortality is likely to be significant.


To assess whether or not preclinical models can help inform clinical assessments of anti-TB drugs for children, the characteristics of TB disease in children must first be understood. Pediatric and adult TB are very different. The clinical manifestations of pediatric TB are highly variable and roughly correlate with age; very young children more commonly develop disseminated disease than older children and adults, and children aged 2–12 years commonly have paucibacillary, non-cavitary disease limited to the lung or lymph nodes, without caseous necrosis (see Figure 1). Children over the age of 12 years can present with adult-like pulmonary disease, often with lung cavitation and high bacterial burden. Since younger children tend to have paucibacillary TB (approximately 30% culture-confirmed and <10% sputum smear-positive) they can reasonably be expected to respond to treatment better than adults. Improved treatment outcomes amongst children with MDR-TB compared to adults are already achieved despite substantially lower drug exposures in children for many key second-line drugs. However, this variability in disease severity, pathology, and mycobacterial burden (104 in paucibacillary versus 107 to 109 in cavitary disease) presents a challenge for the selection of a single regimen and treatment duration to test for ‘pediatric MDR-TB’.

A critical concern for successful TB treatment is delivery of effective drugs at adequate concentrations to the site of disease. Penetration of TB drugs into macrophages, the central nervous system, lymph nodes, lung parenchyma, and cavitary contents may be needed for the treatment of pediatric MDR-TB, depending on the age of the child and his or her associated TB-related pathology. Penetration coefficients of drugs into these different compartments vary widely. Studies assessing the spatial distribution of anti-TB drugs in relevant preclinical models may help inform the selection of drugs and/or drug combinations for further testing in specific populations (e.g., children with disseminated intracellular disease, or lymphadenitis or meningitis). Drugs also differ in their ability to protect each other against the emergence of resistance. In patients with a high bacillary load, chromosomally mediated resistance is invariably present in a subpopulation of organisms, so drugs must be given in combination to prevent the emergence of these pre-existing resistant strains. So for adolescents with cavitary disease, it is likely that drugs must both penetrate into cavitary contents and achieve concentrations sufficient to protect companion drugs against the emergence of resistance in that compartment. For children with paucibacillary disease, the number of drugs needed to prevent the emergence of resistance is unknown, but may be fewer than in adults.

There is no single best animal model for pediatric TB disease. In the ‘standard’ mouse TB treatment model in BALB/c mice, the disease is largely intracellular, and the mice do not typically develop caseous necrosis or cavities, and thus their pathology is similar to that seen in young children. Animal models that develop necrotic lesions and/or cavitary disease (e.g., so-called Kramnik (C3HeB/Fcrl) mice or select rabbit models) may be more akin to, and informative of, adolescent TB disease. Thus, no single animal model has been validated as a pediatric TB treatment model. Indeed, given the wide spectrum of disease burden and manifestations, a one-size-fits-all approach to regimen composition, dosing, and treatment duration for pediatric MDR-TB in both practice and clinical trials may result in under- or over-treatment of many children.

5. Pharmacokinetics of second-line anti-TB medications in children

The approach to studying individual anti-TB medications in children has been to perform PK and safety studies, to establish doses in children that achieve exposures similar to those in adults receiving standard doses, and evaluate safety at those doses. Extrapolation of mg/kg doses directly from adults to children is often inappropriate because of age-related changes in drug disposition and metabolism, also known as ‘developmental pharmacology’. Specific studies are therefore needed in children across the age spectrum (with a particular focus on very young children in whom drug handling is rapidly changing), and many important knowledge gaps remain. Emerging evidence on fluoroquinolone PK in children with MDR-TB has shown much lower exposures in children relative to adults with currently recommended doses. Age-specific PK data for ethionamide, terizidone, and para-aminosalicylic acid (PAS) are expected soon (MDR-PK1 study).

Research priorities should be centered on those medications expected to be components of novel MDR-TB regimens; this includes levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, linezolid, clofazimine, and the novel medications bedaquiline and delamanid. Work on optimizing pediatric doses of levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, and linezolid is ongoing (MDR-PK2). Data on the PK and safety of delamanid in children aged 6–17 years have been disseminated, with work ongoing in younger children, including with a pediatric formulation. Pediatric bedaquiline studies are including just starting. Clofazimine PK is poorly understood in adults, and no data for children are available, representing an important gap. Of note, PK parameters and values associated with optimal efficacy for second-line drugs are poorly defined for adults, so PK targets for children are not well established. In general, dose-finding studies aim to identify doses that give equivalent exposures in adults and children. However, despite ‘low’ drug exposures of key medications like the fluoroquinolones, outcomes in children with MDR-TB are good relative to adults. This suggests that children may need less intense treatment and provides justification for an efficacy trial of a shortened regimen in children with MDR-TB. Few child-friendly formulations of second-line anti-TB medications exist; however

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pulmonary disease</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Ghon/LN</th>
<th>Bronchial</th>
<th>Effusion</th>
<th>‘Adult-type’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Manifestations of pediatric tuberculosis, by age, adapted from Marais et al.7 Disease in children aged 5–10 years of age is generally rare.
they are urgently needed to allow accurate and acceptable dosing to children in the field.

6. Design, sample size, and statistical considerations for MDR-TB trials in children

As with other aspects of TB trials, there are similarities and differences between studies of children and adults. Phase 3 studies of TB regimens are typically designed as superiority or non-inferiority trials. Although a number of design innovations have been proposed to increase information gained and/or efficiency, specifically multi-arm multi-stage (MAMS) designs and adaptive randomization, these designs are dependent on an easily identifiable intermediate outcome measure such as 2-month sputum culture conversion. Since this endpoint cannot be measured in many children, the usefulness of such innovations in trial design for studies in children may be limited.

A design issue that is of greater relevance in children is that of stratification by factors that are likely to influence treatment outcomes. Since age, extent or type of disease, and severity of disease are variable in children, these factors should be controlled for by stratification. If regimen effectiveness is expected to vary by these factors, it may be necessary to perform separate sample size calculations for each stratum. In some situations a factorial design may be employed to achieve greater efficiency, but this depends on effects being similar across strata.

An issue that is more prominent in pediatric trials is the presence of imperfect final stage outcomes. By this we are referring to the lack of clarity about whether a patient’s TB has been cured. If the diagnosis was clinical (i.e., not confirmed microbiologically), or if a microbiologically confirmed diagnosis required invasive procedures to establish, it may not be possible to confirm that the disease has been eradicated; a long post-treatment observation period without relapse may increase certainty, but at the cost of a prolonged study timeframe and consequent delay in determining the success of the investigational treatment. A final, more practical issue faced in TB trials is the inability to blind the study or provide placebo control for some study agents. For example, replacing an injectable agent with an equally effective oral drug is highly desirable; however, an injectable placebo raises ethical issues, and it would likely be unacceptable to patients and families.

7. Selection of study population, design, and treatment arms for a trial of a novel pediatric MDR-TB regimen

7.1. Which children to include?

Consideration could be given to treating all children less than 18 years of age (the near universal age of majority), which includes adolescents, who are frequently neglected and for whom safety is rarely established. Alternatively, one might include all children less than 15 years of age, to align with the age brackets used by the WHO for reporting TB statistics. Finally, a younger age cut-off could be considered to try to capture those children whose pathophysiology (and drug disposition and metabolism) is different from adults. Including all children, irrespective of extent of disease, is more inclusive and representative. However, specific issues exist around the treatment of children with more limited, paucibacillary disease, where shorter, less intensive regimens may be possible and for whom there are clear differences in response to treatment compared to adults. A useful classification system has been proposed by Wiseman et al. which provides guidance on how to classify children as having severe vs. non-severe disease. It may be appropriate to include only children with a confirmed diagnosis (i.e., microbiological confirmation of the presence of M. tuberculosis shown to be resistant by genotypic or phenotypic testing), as this gives an unambiguous entry point and allows changes in microbiological status to provide microbiological endpoints. However, this excludes the majority of children with MDR-TB for whom the diagnosis is made clinically. A trial that included only microbiologically confirmed cases (in whom disease severity or bacterial burden is often higher) would not be representative of all children with MDR-TB. Regarding the drug resistance profile, it may be appropriate to only include children with MDR-TB with preserved susceptibility to the fluoroquinolones and injectables, as this is a more homogeneous population, and regimens (both control and intervention) could be standardized.

7.2. Trial design

It may be appropriate to use the same control and intervention regimens for all children in the trial, as this will provide simplicity, improved power to determine endpoints, and transferability into practice. However, it would likely mean that many children will be over-treated (children with limited disease and less extensive resistance) and some may be undertreated (children with extensive disease and more extensive resistance). Alternatively, it may be possible to divide children in the trial into different categories (based on resistance profile, extent of disease, or whether the diagnosis is microbiologically confirmed or not) and provide different intervention and control arms to each.

7.3. Composition of regimens

For the control arm, a number of options are available. First, a standard-duration, traditional WHO-recommended regimen could be selected, where all children in the trial receive the same drugs for the same duration. Standard treatment includes up to 6 months of an injectable and a total duration of 18 months of therapy. A second option is for all children to have an individualized control regimen whose component drugs and treatment duration is designed based on each patient’s disease severity, drug resistance profile, and response to treatment. Third, a number of distinct, pre-defined control regimens could be used based on resistance profile or severity. Finally, the new WHO-endorsed shortened regimen could be used. This has the advantage of being a 9–12-month regimen, which may be more desirable for patients and also for standardization of study endpoints. However, there is limited experience using this regimen in children, and it is currently only recommended for patients who have TB caused by isolates that are known to be susceptible to fluoroquinolones and injectable agents, or for whom resistance to these drug classes is unlikely.

When designing the intervention regimen it is important to construct a combination regimen that includes drugs that, together, achieve the following goals: (1) good early bactericidal activity, (2) potent sterilizing activity, (3) robustness to resistance, and (4) adequate penetration into relevant sites of disease. Regimens with limited drug–drug interactions, both with companion TB drugs and also with antituberculosis drugs, are also highly desirable. Finally, it is important to consider how easy the regimen would be to use programmatically, in terms of procurement, formulations, requirement for laboratory or safety testing, shelf life, etc. A fluoroquinolone (likely levofloxacin, because it has a limited effect on the QT interval) plus a novel drug (delamanid or bedaquiline), together with linezolid and clofazimine provides a potential core set of drugs in such a regimen. The fluoroquinolone provides potent bactericidal activity and reduces bacterial burden quickly, the novel drugs have good sterilizing activity, linezolid has a high barrier to resistance and protects companion drugs, while clofazimine has good sterilizing activity. The addition of other drugs, such as ethionamide, cycloserine, pyrazinamide, and/or high-dose isoniazid can be considered following careful assessment of the potential
benefits versus safety risks. The duration of therapy in the intervention arm would need to be considered. With multiple active drugs, some with good sterilizing efficacy, a shorter duration of therapy is a realistic possibility. Also, given that children frequently have paucibacillary disease, a shortened treatment of as little as 6 months may be more likely to be successful in children than adults.

8. Practical aspects of a pediatric MDR-TB treatment trial

For pediatric MDR-TB research, disease severity must be carefully collected and documented, as disease severity will assuredly influence treatment outcomes. End-points for such trials should include sub-analyses of patients with culture-confirmed disease looking at bacteriological cure, even if the main study outcome is favorable versus unfavorable outcomes. Other measures of treatment response may include weight gain, clinical improvement (symptoms/physical signs), radiological improvement, and changes in potential biomarkers. Given that the adverse effects (AEs) associated with individual drugs are fairly well-described and standard treatment commonly causes significant toxicity, it is especially important to carefully measure and report safety outcomes for new versus control regimens in all pediatric MDR-TB trials. Lastly, every effort should be made to confirm the presence of MDR-TB in enrolled patients (to avoid misdiagnosis or misclassification), by employing multiple diagnostic methods, including culture and phenotypic drug susceptibility testing, as well as molecular methods such Xpert and line probe assay.

9. Integrating children into adult TB trials

Despite substantial urging by pediatricians, clinical trialists, and regulatory authorities, subjects under the age of 18 years are rarely included in phase 3 clinical trials of TB. A recently completed trial of treatment of TB infection, the PREVENT TB Trial, was successful in enrolling adults and children as young as 2 years of age and provides an instructive example of both the challenges and some potential solutions to this problem.32 PREVENT TB was a randomized, open-label, non-inferiority trial of once-weekly, directly-observed rifapentine + isoniazid for 3 months (3HP) compared to daily self-administered isoniazid for 9 months (9H) for the treatment of latent TB infection (LTBI) in high-risk tuberculosis skin test (TST) reactors. The target population comprised TST-positive close contacts of a culture-confirmed TB case, TST-converters, HIV-infected persons with a positive TST or close contacts to a TB case regardless of TST, and TST-positive persons with fibrosis on chest radiography consistent with prior untreated TB. The primary aim of the study was to evaluate the effectiveness of weekly 3HP versus daily 9H in preventing progression to TB disease. The study started enrolling adults and children aged 12–17 years in 2001, as there were no PK data available to guide dosing in younger children. Doses were subsequently established for younger children in PK/safety studies, and in 2005 the protocol was amended to include children aged 2–11 years. Final accrual of children was achieved by 2010, and collaboration with a pediatric clinical trials network (IMPAACT) facilitated enrolment of a large number of children. The study found 3HP to be as well-tolerated and as effective as 9H for preventing TB in children; 3HP had significantly higher treatment completion rates and was less hepatotoxic. Revision of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) LTBI guidelines to allow 3HP for children ages 2–11 years is now under consideration. Ideally, children should be included from the outset. However, if this is not feasible, it may be possible to start the trial in adults but with a clear plan to gather PK and safety data while the trial starts, and then subsequently include children when PK data are available. It would also be possible to do age de-escalation, where adults are initially included, with older children then younger children included later. There is little reason to exclude persons >12 years old from any adult trial.

10. Conclusions

The topics identified in this report identify the critical issues in pediatric MDR-TB that need to be addressed and provide a blueprint for moving forward. Investigators and funding agencies need to respond to this agenda so that important studies can be implemented, leading to improved treatment for children with MDR-TB.
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